

Christopher Mitchell Hendy (SBN 282036)
MAYER BROWN LLP
333 South Grand Avenue, 47th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 229-9500
mhendy@mayerbrown.com

Timothy S. Bishop (*pro hac vice*)
Avi M. Kupfer (*pro hac vice*)
MAYER BROWN LLP
71 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(312) 701-7829
tbishop@mayerbrown.com
akupfer@mayerbrown.com

Attorneys for Defendants
Louis DeJoy and U.S. Postal Service

[Additional counsel listed on signature page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, et al.,

Defendants.

CLEANAIRNOW, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

LOUIS DEJOY, et al.,

Defendants.

Case Nos. 3:22-cv-02583
3:22-cv-02576

**JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT
STATEMENT**

Honorable Araceli Martínez-Olguín

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

Pursuant to the orders reassigning these cases (Case No. 3:22-cv-02583 (*State*) Dkt. 126; Case No. 3:22-cv-02576 (*CleanAirNow*) Dkt. 66), the parties in these related cases submit the following case management statement.

1. Jurisdiction and Service

Plaintiffs contend that this Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (action arising under the laws of the United States), 28 U.S.C. § 1346 (civil action against the United States), 39 U.S.C. § 401 (authorizing suits against the Postal Service), and 39 U.S.C. § 409 (suits by and against the Postal Service).

Defendants (collectively, the Postal Service) reserve the right to argue that the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over one or more of Plaintiffs' claims, including on standing grounds.

The Postal Service was served.

2. Facts

These cases challenge the Postal Service's record of decision (ROD) for the Next Generation Delivery Vehicle (NGDV) Acquisitions program, which approved the purchase of 50,000 to 165,000 NGDVs. Plaintiffs allege that the final environmental impact statement (EIS) supporting the ROD did not comply with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321 et seq.

On January 7, 2022, the Postal Service released a final EIS, which evaluated, among other alternatives, its preferred alternative of procuring "up to 90 percent [internal combustion engine] NGDV with at least 10 percent [battery electric] NGDV." Final EIS iii; *see* 87 Fed. Reg. 994 (Jan. 7, 2022). On February 23, 2022, the Postal Service signed the ROD, which finalized its decision to implement the preferred alternative. *See* 87 Fed. Reg. 14,588 (Mar. 15, 2022).

On April 28, 2022, Plaintiffs CleanAirNow, et al. filed a complaint challenging the ROD. *CleanAirNow* Dkt. 1. Also on April 28, 2022, Plaintiffs State of California, et al. filed a complaint challenging the ROD, and filed a first amended complaint on June 10, 2022. *State* Dkts. 1, 79. Plaintiffs' complaints alleged that the Postal Service violated NEPA by, *inter alia*: (1) making "an irreversible and irretrievable commitment of resources" before completing the NEPA process; (2)

1 failing to consider and evaluate reasonable alternatives including vehicle fleets with a larger mix
 2 of electric vehicles; (3) failing to take a “hard look” at these alternatives, including their impacts
 3 on air quality, environmental justice, and climate harms; (4) relying on unfounded assumptions
 4 regarding the costs and performance of electric vehicles, infrastructure, and gas prices; and (5)
 5 failing to consider inconsistencies of its preferred alternative with the States’ laws and policies.
 6 *State* ECF No. 1; *CleanAirNow* ECF No. 1. The cases were related on May 10, 2022. *State* Dkt. 27;
 7 *CleanAirNow* Dkt. 10.

8 Postal Service update: The Postal Service is currently developing a supplemental EIS
 9 (SEIS) to evaluate the environmental impacts of significant changes to the NGDV Acquisitions
 10 program. 87 Fed. Reg. 43,561 (July 21, 2022). The Postal Service anticipates that it will
 11 “significantly modify[]” the program to increase the percentage of battery electric NGDVs to “not
 12 less than 50 percent.” *Id.* at 43,561. The Postal Service has solicited public comments on the scope
 13 of the SEIS and it will solicit a second round of public comments on a draft of the SEIS. *Id.* The
 14 Postal Service currently anticipates releasing the draft SEIS on June 30, 2023, and finalizing the
 15 SEIS and issuing a revised ROD in October 2023. *State* Dkt. 125 at 2; *CleanAirNow* Dkt. 65 at 2.

16 The Postal Service anticipates that it will begin to receive the first NGDVs in June 2024.
 17 *State* Dkt. 125 at 2; *CleanAirNow* Dkt. 65 at 2.

18 In addition, the Postal Service recently announced that its delivery vehicle electrification
 19 strategy includes contracts for 9,250 off-the-shelf electric vehicles, 9,250 off-the-shelf gas vehicles,
 20 and more than 14,000 charging stations. U.S. Postal Serv., *USPS Moves Forward with Awards to*
 21 *Modernize and Electrify the Nation’s Largest Federal Fleet* (Feb. 28, 2023),
 22 [https://about.usps.com/newsroom/national-releases/2023/0228-usps-moves-forward-with-awards-](https://about.usps.com/newsroom/national-releases/2023/0228-usps-moves-forward-with-awards-to-modernize-and-electrify-nations-largest-federal-fleet.htm)
 23 [to-modernize-and-electrify-nations-largest-federal-fleet.htm](https://about.usps.com/newsroom/national-releases/2023/0228-usps-moves-forward-with-awards-to-modernize-and-electrify-nations-largest-federal-fleet.htm). The announcement stated that
 24 “delivery of the vehicles is intended to commence in December of this year, assuming successful
 25 completion of the [SEIS] . . . , and the related issuance of our [revised ROD] pursuant to [NEPA],”
 26 and that “[a]ll awards in today’s announcement are contingent on the Postal Service’s satisfactory
 27 completion of [NEPA] requirements.” *Id.* Plaintiffs note below that the Postal Service “did not
 28

disclose or study” the contracts “in the final EIS” for the NGDV Acquisitions program. That is because the contracts postdate and are distinct from the final EIS and ROD for the NGDV Acquisitions program. Rather, as the announcement explained, the contracts would be subject to the revised ROD with their NEPA analysis to occur in the SEIS.

Plaintiffs’ update: On February 28, 2023, in addition to proceeding with its NGDV Acquisitions program, the Postal Service announced a new contract for the purchase of 18,500 off-the-shelf vehicles, including 9,250 gas-powered vehicles. U.S. Postal Serv., <https://about.usps.com/newsroom/national-releases/2023/0228-usps-moves-forward-with-awards-to-modernize-and-electrify-nations-largest-federal-fleet.htm>. The Postal Service did not disclose or study this alternative in the final EIS. Delivery of the off-the-shelf vehicles pursuant to the new contract is expected to commence in December 2023, two months after the expected October 2023 publication of the final SEIS and issuance of the revised ROD.

3. Legal Issues

Plaintiffs allege that the Postal Service’s issuance of the final EIS and ROD was arbitrary and capricious, did not demonstrate reasoned decision-making, exceeded the Postal Service’s statutory authority, and was contrary to the requirements of NEPA and NEPA’s implementing regulations.

The Postal Service disputes these claims.

4. Motions

There are no pending motions. The motions below that have been decided provide additional background on the procedural history of the case.

Oshkosh Defense, LLC filed motions for leave to intervene as a defendant in the cases. *State* Dkt. 82; *CleanAirNow* Dkt. 35. The Court granted those motions. *State* Dkt. 122; *CleanAirNow* Dkt. 64.

On August 1, 2022, before the Postal Service filed answers to Plaintiffs’ complaints, the Court sua sponte stayed proceedings due to the Postal Service’s then-pending motion to consolidate all challenges to the ROD before a multidistrict litigation court. *State* Dkt. 103; *CleanAirNow* Dkt.

1 49. On October 7, 2022, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation denied the Postal Service's
2 consolidation motion.

3 On February 2, 2023, the Court granted the Postal Service's motions to extend the litigation
4 stays and denied Plaintiffs' motions to lift the litigation stays. *State* Dkt. 121; *CleanAirNow* Dkt.
5 63. The Court wrote that the "USPS expects to finalize the supplemental environmental review in
6 August 2023, and to issue the revised record of decision by October 2023." *State* Dkt. 121 at 2;
7 *CleanAirNow* Dkt. 63 at 2. The Court explained that "[i]n light of the supplemental NEPA review,
8 litigating the current one makes little sense from a judicial resources point of view." *State* Dkt. 121
9 at 2; *CleanAirNow* Dkt. 63 at 2. The Court also stated "[i]f it turns out that USPS cannot meet the
10 August 2023 deadline, plaintiffs may ask the Court to revisit the stays." *State* Dkt. 121 at 2;
11 *CleanAirNow* Dkt. 63 at 2. Plaintiffs may ask the Court to revisit the stay because the Postal Service
12 has stated that it will not finalize the SEIS by August 2023, and because after the court granted an
13 extension of the stay, the Postal Service proceeded with a new contract for off-the-shelf vehicles
14 that has not been evaluated under NEPA.

15 The Court directed the parties to file joint status reports every 90 days. *State* Dkt. 121 at 3;
16 *CleanAirNow* Dkt. 63 at 3. On May 1, 2023, the parties filed the first joint status report. *State* Dkt.
17 125; *CleanAirNow* Dkt. 65.

18 At a December 1, 2022 hearing on the stay motions, the Court indicated that it would
19 consolidate the cases and that Plaintiffs should "plan on filing a consolidated complaint" if they
20 seek to challenge the revised ROD. *State* Dkt. 114 at 4, 15. The Court has not yet consolidated the
21 cases.

22 If Plaintiffs file an amended complaint challenging the revised ROD, the parties anticipate
23 that the cases would be resolved through cross-motions for summary judgment after the Postal
24 Service files an answer and serves the administrative record.

25 5. Amended Pleadings

26 Plaintiffs may amend their complaints based on the SEIS.
27
28

1 6. Evidence Preservation

2 The parties have reviewed the Guidelines Relating to the Discovery of Electronically Stored
3 Information (“ESI Guidelines”) regarding reasonable and proportionate steps taken to preserve
4 evidence relevant to the issues reasonably evident in this action. *See* ESI Guidelines 2.01, 2.02;
5 Checklist for ESI Meet and Confer. In this matter, such preservation is limited to that necessary to
6 prepare and file the administrative record.

7 7. Disclosures

8 Evidentiary disclosures are not required in administrative record review cases, and none are
9 anticipated. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(B)(i).

10 8. Discovery

11 The parties anticipate that this action will be resolved based on the Postal Service’s
12 administrative record and that discovery will not be necessary.

13 9. Class Actions

14 This case is not a class action.

15 10. Related Cases

16 The Court has related these cases. In addition, there is a challenge to the same Postal Service
17 ROD pending in another court. *See Natural Res. Def. Council v. DeJoy*, No. 1:22-cv-3442
18 (S.D.N.Y.). That action has also been stayed with the parties directed to file a joint status letter by
19 July 10, 2023 on the Postal Service’s SEIS. Order, *Natural Res. Def. Council v. DeJoy*, No. 1:22-
20 cv-3442 (S.D.N.Y Jan. 18, 2022), Dkt. 67.

21 11. Relief

22 The *CleanAirNow* Plaintiffs ask the Court to: (1) declare that the Postal Service violated
23 NEPA and its implementing regulations in issuing its final EIS and ROD; (2) vacate the Postal
24 Service’s final EIS and ROD until the Postal Service has demonstrated lawful compliance with
25 NEPA and applicable regulations; (3) issue an order enjoining the Postal Service from any action
26 under its NGDV Acquisitions program until the Postal Service has demonstrated lawful NEPA
27 compliance; (4) award Plaintiffs their costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys’ fees; and (5)
28

1 provide for such other relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

2 The *State* Plaintiffs request that the Court: (1) issue a declaratory judgment that the Postal
3 Service violated NEPA in issuing the Final EIS and ROD; (2) issue an order vacating and setting
4 aside the final EIS and ROD unless and until the Postal Service complies with applicable law; (3)
5 issue an order enjoining action by the Postal Service under its NGDV Acquisitions program until
6 it has complied with NEPA; (4) award Plaintiffs their costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys'
7 fees; and (5) award such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

8 The Postal Service disputes Plaintiffs' entitlement to any relief in these cases and therefore
9 opposes the relief sought.

10 12. Settlement and ADR

11 The parties have complied with ADR L.R. 3-5. Plaintiffs do not believe that ADR would be
12 productive at this time. The Postal Service believes that ADR could be useful to achieving a
13 resolution of these lawsuits.

14 13. Consent to Magistrate Judge for all Purposes

15 All parties do not consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings.

16 14. Other References

17 Plaintiffs do not believe that this case is suitable for reference to binding arbitration, special
18 master, or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

19 The Postal Service agrees that the case is not suitable for reference to binding arbitration or
20 a special master. As discussed above, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation denied the Postal
21 Service's motion to consolidate these cases with other cases challenging the same Postal Service
22 action.

23 15. Narrowing of Issues

24 The parties do not believe that it is possible to narrow the issues at this time.

25 16. Expedited Trial Procedure

26 Because judicial review in this case is based on the Postal Service's administrative record,
27 the cases should be decided on summary judgment and no trial is expected to occur.
28

17. Scheduling

Plaintiffs propose that if they move to lift the stay and are successful, they will likely seek an expedited briefing schedule in light of the Postal Service's announcement that delivery of off-the-shelf vehicles "is intended to commence in December of this year." U.S. Postal Serv., <https://about.usps.com/newsroom/national-releases/2023/0228-usps-moves-forward-with-awards-to-modernize-and-electrify-nations-largest-federal-fleet.htm>. The Postal Service will propose a schedule for any future proceeding if and when the litigation stays are dissolved. As discussed above, the Postal Service currently expects to issue the revised ROD in October 2023.

18. Trial

A trial is not anticipated in this administrative record case. The parties anticipate that summary judgment motions would require a hearing of two to four hours.

19. Disclosure of Non-Party Interested Entities or Persons

All required disclosure statements have been filed.

20. Professional Conduct

All attorneys of record for the parties have reviewed the Guidelines for Professional Conduct for the Northern District of California.

Dated: May 31, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Timothy S. Bishop
 Timothy S. Bishop (*pro hac vice*)
 Avi M. Kupfer (*pro hac vice*)
 MAYER BROWN LLP
 71 S. Wacker Drive
 Chicago, IL 60606
 (312) 701-7829
 tbishop@mayerbrown.com
 akupfer@mayerbrown.com

Christopher Mitchell Hendy (SBN 282036)
 MAYER BROWN LLP
 333 South Grand Avenue, 47th Floor
 Los Angeles, CA 90071
 (213) 229-9500

mhendy@mayerbrown.com

*Attorneys for Defendants United States Postal
Service and Louis DeJoy, in his official
capacity as United States Postmaster General*

ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
ABIGAIL BLODGETT
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

/s/ Stacy J. Lau

STACY J. LAU
Deputy Attorneys General
1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor
P.O. Box 70550
Oakland, CA 94612-0550
Telephone: (510) 879-1973
E-mail: Stacy.Lau@doj.ca.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of California

FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF
PENNSYLVANIA

MICHELLE HENRY
Acting Attorney General

/s/ Ann R. Johnston

ANN R. JOHNSTON
Senior Deputy Attorney General
Office of Attorney General
1600 Arch Street, Suite 300
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone: (215) 560-2171
Email: ajohnston@attorneygeneral.gov

*Attorneys for Plaintiff
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania*

KATHLEEN JENNINGS
Attorney General of Delaware

/s/ Christian Douglas Wright

CHRISTIAN DOUGLAS WRIGHT
Director of Impact Litigation
VANESSA L. KASSAB (*pro hac vice*)
JAMESON A. L. TWEEDIE
RALPH K. DURSTEIN, III
Deputy Attorneys General
Delaware Department of Justice
820 N. French Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 683-8899

LETITIA JAMES
Attorney General of New York

/s/ Claiborne E. Walthall

CLAIBORNE E. WALTHALL (*pro hac
vice*)
Assistant Attorney General
New York State Office of the Attorney
General
Environmental Protection Bureau
State Capitol
Albany, NY 12224
(518) 776-2380
claiborne.walthall@ag.ny.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New York

WILLIAM TONG
Attorney General of Connecticut

/s/ Daniel Salton

DANIEL SALTON
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General of
Connecticut
165 Capitol Avenue
Hartford, CT 06106
Telephone: (860) 808-5250
Email: daniel.salton@ct.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Connecticut

AARON M. FREY
Attorney General of Maine

/s/Jillian R. O'Brien

JILLIAN R. O'BRIEN, Cal. State Bar No.
251311
JASON ANTON
PAUL SUITTER
Assistant Attorneys General
Six State House Station
Augusta, Maine 04333-0006
Telephone: (207) 626-8800
Fax: (207) 287-3145

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Delaware

KWAME RAOUL
Attorney General of Illinois

/s/ Jason James

JASON E. JAMES (*pro hac vice*)
Assistant Attorney General
MATTHEW J. DUNN
Chief, Environmental Enforcement/Asbestos
Litigation Division
Office of the Attorney General
201 West Pointe Drive, Suite 7
Belleville, IL 62226
Tel: (872) 276-3583
Email: Jason.james@ilag.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. PLATKIN
Attorney General of New Jersey

/s/ Lisa Morelli

LISA MORELLI, State Bar No. 137092
Deputy Attorney General
Division of Law
25 Market Street
P.O. Box 093
Trenton, NJ 08625-093
Telephone: 609-376-2740
Email: lisa.morelli@law.njoag.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New Jersey

ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM
Attorney General of Oregon

/s/ Paul Garrahan

PAUL GARRAHAN (*pro hac vice*)
Attorney-in-Charge
STEVE NOVICK (*pro hac vice*)
Special Assistant Attorney General
Natural Resources Section
Oregon Department of Justice
1162 Court Street NE
Salem, OR 97301-4096
Telephone: (503) 947-4593

Email: Jason.Anton@maine.gov
Email: Paul.Suitter@maine.gov
Email: Jill.Obrien@maine.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Maine

ANTHONY G. BROWN
Attorney General of Maryland

/s/ Steven J. Goldstein

STEVEN J. GOLDSTEIN (*pro hac vice*)
Special Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
200 Saint Paul Place, 20th Floor
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
Telephone: (410) 576-6414
Email: sgoldstein@oag.state.md.us

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Maryland

RAÚL TORREZ
Attorney General of New Mexico

/s/ William Grantham

WILLIAM GRANTHAM (*pro hac vice*)
Assistant Attorney General
201 Third St. NW, Suite 300
Albuquerque, NM 87102
Telephone: (505) 717-3520
E-Mail: wgrantham@nmag.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New Mexico

JOSHUA H. STEIN
Attorney General of North Carolina

/s/ Asher Spiller

ASHER SPILLER
Assistant Attorney General
FRANCISCO BENZONI
Special Deputy Attorney General

Email: Steve.Novick@doj.state.or.us

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Oregon

FOR THE PEOPLE OF THE
STATE OF MICHIGAN

/s/ Elizabeth Morrisseau

ELIZABETH MORRISSEAU (*pro hac vice*)
Assistant Attorney General
Environment, Natural Resources,
and Agriculture Division
Michigan Attorney General's Office
6th Floor, G. Mennen Williams Building
525 West Ottawa Street
PO Box 30755
Lansing, MI 48933
Telephone: (517) 335-7664
Email: MorrisseauE@michigan.gov

*Attorneys for Plaintiff the People of the State of
Michigan*

CHARITY R. CLARK
Attorney General of Vermont

/s/ Nicholas F. Persampieri

NICHOLAS F. PERSAMPIERI (*pro hac vice*)
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
109 State Street
Montpelier, VT 05609
(802) 828-3171
nick.persampieri@vermont.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Vermont

BRIAN L. SCHWALB
Attorney General for the District of Columbia

/s/ Adam Teitelbaum

ADAM TEITELBAUM, State Bar. No. 310565
Deputy Director
Office of the Attorney General
District of Columbia
400 6th St. NW
Washington, DC 20001

114. W. Edenton Street
Raleigh, NC 27063
Telephone: (919)716-7600
Email: fbenzoni@ncdoj.gov
aspiller@ncdoj.gov

*Attorneys for Plaintiff State of North
Carolina*

ROBERT W. FERGUSON
Attorney General of Washington

/s/ Megan Sallomi

MEGAN SALLOMI, State Bar. No. 300580
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division
Washington State Attorney General's Office
800 5th Ave Suite 2000,
Seattle, WA 98104-3188
Telephone: (206) 389-2437
Email: Megan.Sallomi@atg.ca.gov

Attorney for Plaintiff State of Washington

PETER F. NERONHA
Attorney General of Rhode Island

/s/ Nicholas M. Vaz

NICHOLAS M. VAZ (*pro hac vice*)
Special Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
Environmental and Energy Unit
150 South Main Street
Providence, Rhode Island 02903
Telephone: (401) 274-4400 ext. 2297
nvaz@riag.ri.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Rhode Island

ALEXANDER G. CROCKETT
District Counsel

/s/ Marcia Raymond

Telephone: 202-256-3713
Email: Adam.Teitelbaum@dc.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff District of Columbia

HON. SYLVIA O. HINDS-RADIX
Corporation Counsel
of the City of New York

/s/Alice R. Baker

ALICE R. BAKER (*pro hac vice*)
Senior Counsel
New York City Law Department
100 Church Street
New York, NY 10007
Telephone: (212) 356-2314
E-mail: albaker@law.nyc.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff City of New York

/s/ Maya D. Golden-Krasner

MAYA D. GOLDEN-KRASNER (SBN 217557)
SCOTT B. HOCHBERG (SBN 305567)
mgoldenkrasner@biologicaldiversity.org
shochberg@biologicaldiversity.org
Climate Law Institute
Center for Biological Diversity
1212 Broadway, Suite 800
Oakland, CA 94612
T: (510) 844-7119 / F: (510) 844-7150

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Center for
Biological Diversity*

MARCIA L. RAYMOND, State Bar No.
215655
Assistant Counsel
Bay Area Air Quality Management District
350 Beale Street, Suite 600
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 749-5158
mraymond@baaqmd.gov

*Attorneys for Plaintiff
Bay Area Air Quality Management District*
PHIL WEISER
Attorney General of Colorado

/s/ Eric R. Olson

ERIC R. OLSON (*pro hac vice*)
Solicitor General Emeritus
SHANNON STEVENSON
Solicitor General
Office of the Attorney General
Colorado Department of Law
1300 Broadway, 10th Floor
Denver, CO 80203
(720) 508 6548
Eric.Olson@coag.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Colorado

/s/ Adriano L. Martinez

ADRIANO L. MARTINEZ (SBN 237152)
YASMINE L. AGELIDIS (SBN 321967)
CANDICE L. YOUNGBLOOD (SBN
328843)
amartinez@earthjustice.org
yagelidis@earthjustice.org
cyoungblood@earthjustice.org
Earthjustice
707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 4300
Los Angeles, CA 90017
T: (415) 217-2000 / F: (415) 217-2040

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs CleanAirNow
and Sierra Club*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

/s/ Nathan E. Shafroth
Nathan E. Shafroth (SBN 232505)
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
Salesforce Tower
415 Mission Street, Suite 5400
San Francisco, California 94105-2533
Telephone: (415) 591-6000
Facsimile: (415) 591-6091
nshafroth@cov.com

*Attorney for Defendant-Intervenor
Oshkosh Defense, LLC*

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 5-1

I hereby certify that the above counsel in Case Nos. 3:22-cv-02583 and 3:22-cv-02576
have concurred in the filing of this document.

/s/ Timothy S. Bishop
Timothy S. Bishop

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on May 31, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record by operation of the Court's ECF System.

/s/ Timothy S. Bishop
Timothy S. Bishop